

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,084	06/15/2007	Paul J. Keall	02940350AA	3890
30743 WHITHAM. (7590 04/12/201 CURTIS & CHRISTOF	EXAMINER		
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			HOFFA, ANGELA MARIE	
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3768		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/599,084	KEALL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Angela M. Hoffa	3768		

	Angela M. Hoffa	3768						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
I. ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 *TCR* 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding encount of the fee. The appropriate extension counter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laster than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	ience with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled 								
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submitted in a separate,	intery nieu amenumer	it canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-7,9-12,14 and 15</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The Arthur Grother Evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768	/A. M. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3768							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added features of a "pattern responsive to a repdoucible periodic motion of said anatomy learned through training of the patient" and "said loterances being based on date of said period motion learned through training of the patient" are not present in the previously applied prior art reference. The scope of the claim requires further consideration and search. Therefore, the reasoning from the rejection used in the final office action is maintained.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment to the claims raises new issues that would require further search and consideration since the proposed amendment overcomes the art of record. The newly added features of a "pattern responsive to a repdoucible periodic motion of said anatomy learned through training of the patient" and "said tolerances being based on data of said period motion learned through training of the patient" are not present in the previously applied prior art reference. The scope of the claim requires further consideration and search. Therefore, the reasoning from the rejection used in the final office actions in sminitanter.